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    [2008 RBC/UKQCD results using ChPT]
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• Outlook
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Introduction
Low Energy Effective Theory

- Represent weak interactions by local four-quark Lagrangian

\[ \mathcal{H}^{(\Delta S=1)} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ud} V_{us}^* \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{10} \left[ z_i(\mu) - \frac{V_{td} V_{us}^*}{V_{ts}^* V_{ud}} y_i(\mu) \right] Q_i \right\} \]

- \( V_{qq'} \) – CKM matrix elements
- \( z_i \) and \( y_i \) – Wilson Coefficients
- \( Q_i \) – four-quark operators
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Four quark operators

- **Current-current operators**
  
  \[ Q_1 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A}(\bar{u}_\beta u_\beta)_{V-A} \]
  
  \[ Q_2 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A}(\bar{u}_\beta u_\alpha)_{V-A} \]

- **QCD Penguins**
  
  \[ Q_3 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V-A} \]
  
  \[ Q_4 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V-A} \]
  
  \[ Q_5 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V+A} \]
  
  \[ Q_6 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V+A} \]

- **Electro-Weak Penguins**
  
  \[ Q_7 \equiv \frac{3}{2}(\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q(\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V+A} \]
  
  \[ Q_8 \equiv \frac{3}{2}(\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q(\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V+A} \]
  
  \[ Q_9 \equiv \frac{3}{2}(\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q(\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V-A} \]
  
  \[ Q_{10} \equiv \frac{3}{2}(\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q(\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V-A} \]
Status

- The $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ rule and $\varepsilon' / \varepsilon$ are long-standing problems in particle physics.
- Accurate experimental result allows test of standard model CP violation.
  \[
  \text{re}(\varepsilon' / \varepsilon) = 16.8 (1.4) \times 10^{-4}
  \]
- Natural target for lattice QCD.
- Even 10-20% errors would be of great value.
Challenges

- Match lattice and continuum operators
- Eye diagrams contain quadratic divergences
- Difficult $\pi - \pi$ final state
  - SU(3) $\times$ SU(3) ChPT fails
  - Physical decay: $p \sim 205$ MeV
  - Euclidean, large time limit: $p \sim 0$ MeV
- $\Delta I = 1/2$ amplitudes require disconnected graphs
Computational Challenges
Operator
Normalization
Operator Renormalization

- RI/MOM scheme, gauge-fixed off-shell Green’s functions.
- Earlier quenched and recent 2+1 flavor calculation demonstrate errors ~few % errors are feasible.
- Sub-percent statistical errors possible from 5-10 configurations (Dirk Broemmel, Chris Kelley, Jan Wennekers)
- Non-exceptional kinematics gives sub-percent infrared effects at $\mu = 1.7$ GeV.
- Largest uncertainty comes from $\mu = 2$ GeV QCD perturbation theory. Remove by step-scaling
  - Compare RI/MOM Green’s functions or Schrodinger functional amplitudes on a sequence of ensembles with small physical volumes, $L \sim 1/2^N$
  - Match with continuum perturbation theory at $\mu = 1.7 \cdot 2^N$ GeV $\Rightarrow$ error $\sim 1/N$
Operator Renormalization (con’t)

- Seven $\Delta S = 1$ operators divide into three groups which mix:
  - $O_{(27,1)}$
  - $O_7$ and $O_8$
  - $O_2$, $O_3$, $O_5$, $O_6$

- Accurately handled by RI/MOM (Chris Dawson, Shu Li)

- Mixing with lower dimension operators is a small effect and easily treated.

- Effects of a single gluonic operator not yet included.
## Operator Renormalization (con’t)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.218(33)</td>
<td>0.0(0.0)</td>
<td>0.0(0.0)</td>
<td>0.0(0.0)</td>
<td>0.0(0.0)</td>
<td>0.0033(53)</td>
<td>-0.0063(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0(0.0)</td>
<td>1.062(84)</td>
<td>0.076(77)</td>
<td>0.001(33)</td>
<td>0.016(29)</td>
<td>0.0026(80)</td>
<td>0.0026(68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0(0.0)</td>
<td>0.13(20)</td>
<td>1.30(27)</td>
<td>-0.180(89)</td>
<td>0.120(99)</td>
<td>0.044(22)</td>
<td>-0.037(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0(0.0)</td>
<td>-0.08(24)</td>
<td>-0.03(21)</td>
<td>1.00(12)</td>
<td>0.269(93)</td>
<td>-0.016(23)</td>
<td>-0.034(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0(0.0)</td>
<td>-0.64(72)</td>
<td>-0.31(92)</td>
<td>-0.67(37)</td>
<td>1.97(38)</td>
<td>0.130(93)</td>
<td>-0.14(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.00030(89)</td>
<td>0.006(20)</td>
<td>0.024(25)</td>
<td>-0.0012(75)</td>
<td>-0.0074(92)</td>
<td>1.084(26)</td>
<td>0.294(29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0002(14)</td>
<td>0.052(55)</td>
<td>0.138(76)</td>
<td>0.007(29)</td>
<td>-0.010(21)</td>
<td>0.060(22)</td>
<td>1.711(97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Shu Li]

Inverse of renormalization matrix in 7 operator basis for unitary mass 0.01 and $\mu = 1.92$ GeV. Done with 75 configurations, $16^3 \times 32$ $1/a=1.73$ GeV
Operator Renormalization (con’t)

\[(\Lambda_v - \Lambda_A)/(\lambda_v + \lambda_A)/2\]

---

Graphs showing data for different masses and nonexceptional momenta.

Y. Aoki, et al

Phys.Rev.D78:054510,2008,

arXiv:0712.1061 [hep-lat]
Quadratic Divergences
Quadratic Divergences

- Penguin diagrams have quadratically divergent part $\sim 1/a^2$
- Easily determined and subtracted with sub percent errors.
  - RBC:
  - CP-PACS:
- Easily controlled at the percent level!
Two pion final state
SU(3) x SU(3) Chiral Perturbation Theory

• Use “soft-pion” methods to related $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ to $K \rightarrow \pi$ and $K \rightarrow \text{vac}$.

• Earlier 2001 quenched calculations suggested this was promising (but gave $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon = ??$).

• However, quenched ChPT highly unphysical (Golterman and Pallante).

• Quenched calculation now repeated in 2+1 flavor QCD again using chiral (domain wall) fermions.
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Determination of $\alpha_{27}$

- Fit to points with $(m_{val} + m_{res})_{avg} \leq 0.013$
- PQChPT describes this data
- Large, ~100% correction!?  
- Same large ChPT corrections as RBC/UQKCD, 
  arXiv:0804.0473
- Fit does not work without $m_K m_\pi f_K f_\pi$ division.
Relative size of LO and NLO terms

- LO and NLO log terms are the same size.
- Consistent results if we divide by $m_K m_\pi (f_K f_\pi)^2$
- Double the difference between two fits to estimate systematic error.
Determination of $\alpha_6$

- NLO fit not possible, insufficient data to determine 8 LEC’s.
- LO fit works well for large mass range.
- Omitted NLO logs are important!
Effect of NLO logs on $\alpha_6$

- Chose $m_{\text{max}} = 0.005$.
- Use linear fit for $m_{\text{max}} \leq m$
- Use chiral log for $m \leq m_{\text{max}}$
- Match value, slope and curvature at $m = m_{\text{max}}$

Slope doubled by including NLO chiral log
Results for LEC’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Q_i$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{i,\text{ren}}^{(1/2)}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{i,\text{ren}}^{(3/2)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-6.6(15)(66) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-2.48(24)(39) \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$9.9(21)(99) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-2.47(24)(39) \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$-0.8(31)(21) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1.62(44)(162) \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$-1.52(29)(152) \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$-4.1(7)(41) \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$-1.11(17)(18) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-5.53(85)(91) \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$-4.92(72)(75) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-2.46(37)(37) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$-9.8(20)(98) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-3.72(37)(59) \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$6.8(15)(68) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-3.69(37)(59) \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $Q_1$–$Q_6$, $Q_9$, $Q_{10}$ in (GeV)$^4$ $Q_7$, $Q_8$ in (GeV)$^6$
- Heroic 7-operator NPR performed.
SU(3) x SU(3) ChPT Critique

• Difficult to extrapolate to chiral limit and extract needed LEC’s \((240 \text{ MeV} \leq m_\pi \leq 430 \text{ MeV})\)

• Highly unrealistic to then use those LEC’s to reconstruct physical 495 MeV kaon.

• **Soft-pion methods are too unreliable to be used.**

• While not a positive result, this reflects a major RBC/UQCD effort since Kaon07 and is an important conclusion.
Calculate $\pi - \pi$ final state directly

- Lellouch-Luscher method:
  - Correct normalization for mixing of different $l$ coming from cubic box.
  - Correctly include $\pi - \pi$ interactions and Euclidean space Watson theorem.
  - Defeat Maiani-Testa theorem by tuning finite volume so that 1$^{\text{st}}$ or 2$^{\text{nd}}$ excited state has physical relative momentum.

- Further refinements:
  - G-parity boundary conditions – force $\pi - \pi$ to carry physical 205 MeV momentum. (Changhoan Kim)
  - Non-zero cm mass momentum adjusted to make $\pi - \pi$ relative momentum physical. (Takeshi Yamazaki)
Disconnected diagrams
Disconnected graphs

• Exponential $e^{-E_{\pi\pi} t}$ fall off produced by stochastic average rather than explicit quark propagators

• Many-source, high statistics methods needed

• Reliable signals must be extracted from small time separations:
  – Multi-state fits
  – Luscher-Wolff

• A serious challenge for LQCD

• The $\pi-\pi$ system is likely the easiest!
Disconnected graphs

- Current testing:
  - 2+1 flavors
  - $16^3 \times 32$
  - $m_\pi = 430$ MeV

\[ I = 0 \quad \pi - \pi \text{ scattering} \]

Two pion correlation functions

- $\pi - \pi$ correlator
- 32 wall sources, one for each $t$
- 146 configurations
- 12 hrs/config. at 1/8 Tflops.

[Qi Liu]
Outlook
Direct calculation of $K \to \pi \pi$ a major RBC/UKQCD project

- Collaborators:
  - **RBC**
    - Tom Blum
    - Norman Christ
    - Taku Izubuchi
    - Changhoan Kim
    - Matthew Lightman
    - Qi Liu
    - Bob Mawhinney
    - Amarjit Soni
  - **UQKCD**
    - Dirk Broemmel
    - Jonathan Flynn
    - Elaine Goode
    - Chris Sachrajda

- Ready to start USQCD 80 M core-hour BG/P Argonne Incite allocation:
  - 4.5 fm box, $1/a = 1.4$ GeV, AuxDet action
  - $m_\pi = 240$ and 180 MeV
**Outlook $\Delta I = 3/2$**
(Matthew Lightman)

- Quenched $24^3 \times 64$, $1/a=1.3$ GeV, $m_\pi = 228$ MeV tests underway.
- Anti-periodic $d$ quark.
  - $p = 0$, 170, 240, 295 MeV.
  - $p_{phys} = 205$ MeV
  - Only needed for valence $d$’s
- Use AuxDet large volume lattices
  - $m_{res} = 0.0018/a \sim 3$ MeV
  - $1/a = 1.4$ GeV
  - $L = 4.5$ fm
  - $m_\pi = 180$ and 240 MeV
- Computing re $A_2$ and im $A_2$
  - $\sim 15\%$ accuracy
  - Practical 2-year goal

$$A_2 = 2.17(12) \times 10^{-8} \text{ GeV}, \ p = 0$$
Outlook: $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$
(Qi Liu)

- 2+1 flavor, $16^3 \times 32$
experiments underway:
  - $m_\pi = 427$ MeV
  - 1st $\pi - \pi$ scattering
  - 2nd $K \to \pi \pi$
  - Eigenmode projection + CG
(Ran Zhou)

correlator

connected graphs

disconnected graph
Outlook: $\Delta I = 1/2$
(Qi Liu)

- Disconnected graphs introduce large errors into $\pi - \pi$ scattering for $t \geq 5$
- Non-zero momentum:
  - Non-zero cm momentum
  - G-parity boundary conditions
- Complete $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ code written and first $8^3 \times 12$ calculations underway.
- $16^3 \times 32 \rightarrow 32^3 \times 64$ requires:
  - Improved short-time resolution
  - More efficient inversions

\[ \begin{align*}
\pi - \pi \text{ effective mass} \\
\end{align*} \]
Conclusion

• Calculation of re $A_2$ and im $A_2$ to $\sim 15\%$ a realistic 1 - 2 year goal

• re $A_0$ and im $A_0$ more difficult
  – Theoretical issues are resolved.
  – Disconnected diagrams easiest in this $\pi - \pi$ case.
  – Next generation of computer hardware likely needed for definitive results: Next generation IBM BG/? machine should be sufficient!

• Expect 20\% result for $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ rule and $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ in $\sim 3$ years!